

Remarks

The claims are 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-17 and 19. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 18 has been cancelled. Claim 19 has been newly added dependent from Claim 1 for consideration by the Examiner. Newly matter is introduced herein.

The Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the allowance of Claims 14-17.

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicants have cancelled Claim 18 in this Amendment, and for this reason the present rejection is deemed to be moot.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Voorhis; and Claims 4, 8 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Voorhis as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Thornell.

Applicants' Claim 1 has been amended to further recite that the decorative member is "interchangeable". As indicated in Applicants' Specification in Paragraph [0065] "[T]he interchangeability of these parts permits the manufacturer of the toy glider to tailor the toy to different child's tastes with minimal effort and expense." Applicants submit that the interchangeability aspect of this decorative member is a feature that is not taught, suggested or made obvious in view of the Van Voorhis and Thornell references alone or in combination. For this reason, Applicants respectfully solicit reconsideration and allowance of Claim 1 together with dependent Claims 2, 4, 5, 7-13 and newly submitted Claim 19.

As to newly submitted Claim 19, set forth is the aspect that the decorative member is selected from a plurality of interchangeable decorative members.

In view of the present Amendment, Applicants respectfully solicit allowance of the entire application. Should there be any issues that remain following the Examiner's consideration of this Amendment, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to finally resolve any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul A. Taufer
Reg. No. 35,703
Attorney for Applicants

PAT:nn
(215) 656-3385